

1788

November - December

DOCUMENT NO. 1889.  
BOX 52.

File #2388.	)	PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY
Nov. 5, 1788.	)	ANDRES ALMONESTER Y ROXAS
Judge: Antonio	)	IN ORDER TO SELL TWO NEGRO
Argote.	)	SLAVES OF HIS OWNERSHIP.
CC: P. Pedesclaux.	)	
P. 1 to 4.	)	
Spanish.	)	

---

The record shows that Andres Almonester y Roxas petitioned the Court to authorize any of the Notaries of this City to draw deeds of sale of two negro slaves of his ownership, one named Nicolas, purchased from a free negro named Joseph Zayas, and the other named Pedro, purchased in an auction sale performed by Gilberto Maxent. To establish his title on said slaves, petitioner presented to the Court two certificates issued by Father Antonio de Sedella and Notary Public Fernando Rodriguez, in view of which the Court granted his petition.

#22  
#10

DOCUMENT NO. 1890.  
BOX 52.

File #2380.	)	
Nov. 11, 1788.	)	PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY
Judge: Estevan	)	JOSEPH ADAM TO OBTAIN A PERMIT
Miro.	)	TO SELL A CERTAIN PARCEL OF
CC: Not mentioned	)	LAND OF HIS OWNERSHIP.
in Document.	)	
P. 1 to 2.	)	
Spanish and	)	
French.	)	

---

The record shows that Joseph Adam, a resident of New Orleans, petitioned the Court for a permit to sell a certain parcel of land of his ownership situated in the Post of St. Bernard, which petitioner purchased from Gabriel Fernandez and wife, as evidenced by the deed of sale drawn before Pedro de Marigny, Commander of said Post, which deed petitioner presented to the Court. Petitioner alleged that he has attempted to sell said parcel of land, but the Notaries of this City have refused to draw the deed of sale until petitioner secures the proper permit from the Court.

The Court granted the requested permit.

#22  
#10

File #64 )  
Nov. 18, 1788 )  
Judge: E. )  
Miro )  
CC: R. Perdomo )  
P. 1 to 14. )  
Spanish )

DOCUMENT NO. 1891  
BOX 52

PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY  
FRANCISCO MIGUEL SANTRELLE,  
FOR THE PURPOSE OF BEING  
EMANCIPATED.

Don Francisco Miguel Santrelle, a resident of this City, instituted these proceedings for the purpose of obtaining his emancipation, alleging that he is capable to administrate his personal affairs. To prove his allegations he begged the Court to admit witnesses that he will present to prove his character, honesty and capacity.

The record shows that on Nov. 18, 1788, the Court admitted the witnesses presented by Santrelle to prove his allegations.

The record shows that on Dec. 19, Santrelle, presented before the Court Clerk his witnesses whom under oath declared: That they have known Santrelle for many years, and that he is an honest and industrious man, and without vices; for which reasons they consider him capable of taking care of his personal affairs.

The record further shows that after Santrelle proved his status and the purpose for which he desires his emancipation the court on Nov. 27, 1788, granted him his emancipation.

#23  
#10

File #148. )  
Nov. 18, 1788. )  
Judge: Juan )  
del Postigo. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
P. 1 to 10. )  
Spanish and French. )

CASE OF  
SANTIAGO MATHER AND ARTURO  
STROTHER, BUSINESS PARTNERS  
OF NEW ORLEANS,  
VERSUS  
ESTATE OF PEDRO CHABERT.

The record shows that the plaintiffs, through Santiago Mather, brought action against the defendant to recover the sum of 713 pesos, due them for certain merchandise purchased by the deceased Pedro Chabert. As evidence of their claim the plaintiffs presented to the Court two promissory notes executed by said deceased and also an invoice.

The record further shows that after having established the legitimacy of the plaintiffs' claim by comparing the signatures affixed to the promissory notes presented with others of the deceased Pedro Chabert, and after obtaining the consent of Antonio Mendez, attorney for the absent heirs of said deceased and of his wife Carlota Lalande Dapremon, also deceased; of Luis Lalande Dapremon, brother and heir of said Carlota Lalande, and of Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the estate of said deceased, all of whom acknowledged the legitimacy of the plaintiffs claim, the court ordered the payment of said claim from the funds of Carlota Lalande's estate.

DOCUMENT NO. 1893.  
BOX 52.

File #155. )  
Nov. 18, 1788. ) CASE OF  
Judge: Juan ) MARIA LETOURNEUR, WIDOW  
del Postigo. ) OF SANTILLY,  
CC: R. Perdomo. ) VERSUS  
P. 1 to 12. ) ESTATES OF PEDRO CHABERT AND  
Spanish and ) CARLOTA LA LANDE DAPREMON.  
French. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

The record shows that the plaintiff brought action in order to recover from the defendants the sum of 149 pesos for rentals due of a certain house of the plaintiff which had been occupied by Pedro Chabert, deceased husband of Carlota Lalande Dapremon, also deceased. As evidence of her claim the plaintiff presented to the Court a statement showing in detail the amount due her.

The record further shows that after having obtained the consent of the parties representing the successions of Pedro Chabert and Carlota Lalande Dapremon, the Court ordered the payment of the plaintiff's claim from the funds of Carlota Lalande's succession.

#22  
#10

DOCUMENT NO. 1894.  
BOX 52.

File #156.	)	
Nov. 18, 1788.	)	
Judge: Don Juan	)	CASE OF
Dorotheo del	)	MADAM MARIA LETOURNEUR, WIDOW
Postigo.	)	OF SENTILLY
CC: R. Perdano.	)	VERSUS
P. 1 to 8.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO
Spanish,	)	CHABERT.

---

The plaintiff brought this action against the estate of the deceased Don Pedro Chabert, and his widow to recover the past due sum of 500 pesos, plus interest. The plaintiff presented as evidence of her claim a promissory note, and begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of the estate of the deceased to satisfy the sum claimed from the funds of the estate.

The record shows that on Nov. 18, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward said promissory note to the attorney of the succession of said deceased, so he may acknowledge the signature of the deceased on said note.

The record further shows that Don Antonio Mendez, attorney of said succession, and Don Luis Lalande Dapremon, brother and heir of said deceased, after having examined the signature affixed on said promissory note presented by the plaintiff they found it to be authentic, and approved the payment of the sum claimed by the plaintiff.

On Dec. 12, 1788, the Court ordered the payment of  
(cont'd)

Doc. #1894  
cont'd.

the plaintiff's claim from the funds of the estate of said deceased.

The record shows that on Dec. 22, 1788, the Court ordered Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of said succession, to satisfy the sum claimed by the plaintiff.

#23  
#10

File #162. )  
Nov. 18, 1788. )  
Judge: Juan )  
del Postigo. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
P. 1 to 11. )  
Spanish and )  
French. )

CASE OF  
SANT IAGO MATHER AND ARTURO  
STROTHER, BUSINESS PARTNERS  
OF NEW ORLEANS,  
VERSUS  
ESTATE OF PEDRO CHABERT.

The record shows that the plaintiffs brought action against the defendant to recover the sum of 748 pesos and six reales due them for certain merchandise and effects purchased by the deceased Pedro Chabert, as follows: 236 pesos in Mexican silver currency, and 512 pesos and six reales in paper currency of this city. As evidence of their claim the plaintiffs presented to the Court a document showing a current account which they had with the deceased.

The Court ordered Juan Josef Duforest, official translator, to translate into Spanish the document presented which was in French. After this was done, the Court notified the parties representing the estate of the deceased of the plaintiffs' claim. Said parties, who were Antonio Mendez, attorney for the absent heirs of both the deceased and the deceased's wife, Carlota Lalande Dapremon; Luis Lalande Dapremon, brother and heir of Carlota Lalande, and Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the joint estates of the deceased and his wife, expressed their consent for the payment of the plaintiffs' claim, in view of which the Court ordered the testamentary executor to do so.

File #2394.	)	
Nov. 19, 1788.	)	INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY MADELEINE
Judge: Antonio	)	BRASILIER, WIDOW OF ENRIQUE DESPRES,
Argote.	)	TO PROVE HER TITLE TO A CERTAIN
CC: P. Pedesclaux.	)	PARCEL OF LAND IN ORDER TO SELL IT.
P. 1 to 5.	)	
Spanish.	)	

---

The record shows that Madeleine Brasilier, widow of Enrique Despres, petitioned the Court to receive information from witnesses that she will present in order to prove that she is the legitimate owner of a certain parcel of land situated on Ursuline street, which she acquired among other properties from her deceased first husband, Francisco Duplanty. Petitioner alleged that she believes her deceased husband's title on said land was lost during the hurricanes of the years 1779 and 1780, and that through the information she offers she will prove her ownership of said land in order that the Court may grant her a permit to sell it.

The record further shows that the information offered was admitted by the Court and that it entirely proved that petitioner's first deceased husband was the legitimate owner of said parcel of land and that he named her in his last will and testament as the heiress thereof, in view of which the Court approved said information and granted her the requested permit to sell it.

#22

#10

File #84. )  
Nov. 21, 1788. )  
Judge: Estevan )  
Miro. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
P. 1 to 3. )  
Spanish. )

---

CASE OF  
JUAN REYNAUD  
VERSUS  
THOMAS POREE.

The record shows that the plaintiff brought action against the defendant to compel him to take back a certain negro slave who was found to be incapacitated to work, of a vicious character and therefore not worth the price for which plaintiff purchased him from the defendant.

The record further shows that the parties in this case compromised, the defendant having agreed to take back the slave in question and to pay half of the costs of these proceedings which amounted to nine pesos and six reales.

#22  
#10

File 2611. )  
Nov. 24, 1788. )  
Judge: Estevan )  
Miro. )  
Court Clerk: Pedro )  
Medesclaux. )  
P. 1-16. )  
Spanish )

---

DOCUMENT 1898  
BOX 52

CASE OF MAGDALINA TATIN  
(FREE NEGRESS)  
VERSUS  
VALENTINO (FREE NEGRO)

The plaintiff, a resident of this City, brought this action against the defendant to recover the past due sum of six hundred eighty pesos, alleging that as evidenced by the promissory note duly presented, the defendant is indebted to the petitioner in said sum and that the defendant has refused to make payment on demand; wherefore, petitioner begged the Court to order the defendant to acknowledge the signature on said note presented and to declare under oath whether he owes the sum claimed.

On Nov. 24, 1788, the Court granted the plaintiff's prayer.

The record shows that the defendant admitted the plaintiff's allegations; that on plaintiff's petition and by order of the Court a writ of execution was issued against the person and properties of the defendant to satisfy the sum claimed, plus interest and cost of these proceedings and that two negro slaves of the defendant's property were seized and placed in the possession of the general receiver because the defendant refused to pay the plaintiff's claim.

The record also shows that said negro slaves were returned to the defendant, as he and the plaintiff compromised. The defendant agreeing to pay the costs of these proceedings which amounted to 44 pesos and 2 reales.

#24  
#151

DOCUMENT 1899  
BOX 52.

File 133	)	PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY
Nov. 26, 1788	)	MARIA JOSEFA GAUDEAU, WI-
Judge: Don Juan	)	DOW OF FRANCISCO GODOY,
Del Postigo.	)	TO RECOVER HER DOWRY AND
Court Clerk:	)	TO HAVE THE PROPERTIES
R. Perdomo.	)	LEFT BY SAID DECEASED IN-
P. 1-23.	)	VENTORIED AND APPRAISED.
<u>Spanish.</u>	)	

Maria Josefa Gaudeau, widow of Francisco Godoy, brought this action to recover her dowry and to have the properties left by her said deceased husband inventoried and appraised, alleging that her said husband died testate survived by three minor children as evidenced by testator's last will and testament. Petitioner further alleges that as evidenced by her ante-nuptial contract she is entitled to recover from the estate left by her deceased husband the sum of seven thousand, one hundred pesos in properties which was her dowry placed in the hands of her deceased husband at the time of their marriage.

The record shows that an inventory and appraisal was made of the properties left by said deceased.

The record seems to be incomplete and the outcome of the case is not known.

#25(A)  
#19

File #189. )  
Nov. 27, 1788. )  
Judge: Juan )  
del Postigo )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
P. 1 to 16. )  
Spanish. )

CASE OF  
CARLOS DE MORANT  
VERSUS  
THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT,  
AND CARLOTA LALANDE DAPREMON.

The record shows that the plaintiff, a Captain of Militia of this City, brought this action against the defendants to recover the past due sum of eighty pesos, alleging that said sum arises for a certain barrel of indigo and a canoe that he sold to the deceased Pedro Chavert; wherefore he begged the Court to order Pedro Piernas, Testamentary Executor of the estate of said deceased, to pay said claim from the funds of said estate.

The record further shows that the Court, ordered the court clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Antonio Mendez, Attorney for the absent heirs of said deceased, who objected to the payment of the plaintiff's claim on the grounds that the plaintiff did not produce documents to prove his claim.

The record also shows that Luis Lalande Dapremon, a party to the succession of Pedro Chabert, also objected to the payment of the plaintiff's claim, on the grounds that it can be proven by the negro slaves residing in the farm of said deceased, that the plaintiff was given in payment of his claim a horse and two reales worth of fish a day for a period of one year, and also a barrel of indigo seed.

(cont'd)

Doc. #1900  
cont'd.

The plaintiff through several negro slaves working in the farm left by said deceased proved that he was not given a horse and two reales worth of fish a day for a period of one year, as alleged by said Luis Lalande Dapremon.

The Court taking into consideration the information produced by the plaintiff through said negro slaves ordered Pedro Piernas, Testamentary Executor of the estate of Chavert to pay the plaintiff's claim plus the cost of these proceedings, from the funds of the estate.

#24  
#10

File #194. )  
Nov. 27, 1788. )  
Judge: Estevan )  
Miro. )  
CC: R. Perdomo.)  
P. 1 to 9. )  
Spanish and )  
French. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

CASE OF  
PEDRO JOSEPH FAVROT  
VERSUS  
ESTATE OF GUILLERMO GUIGNAN.

The record shows that the plaintiff, a Captain of the permanent regiment of New Orleans, brought action against the defendant to recover the value of 1236 pounds of first class indigo and the sum of 600 pesos. As evidence of his claims the plaintiff presented to the Court a certified copy of a document by which the deceased Guillermo Guignan agreed to deliver the said indigo to the plaintiff on Jan, 22, 1789, or in default thereof to pay him its value in money current in this city and at the market price in which said indigo may be valued at the time of payment; the plaintiff also presented a promissory note, payable in the month of August 1788, executed by the deceased in favor of Madam Saintilly, who endorsed it to plaintiff.

The record further shows that after having established the legitimacy of the plaintiff's claims through Maria Bary, widow of the deceased, who acknowledged them, the Court ordered that said claims be paid as they became due.

The costs of these proceedings amounted to 11 pesos and 5 reales.

#22

#10

File #2224.	)	CASE OF
Nov. 27, 1788.	)	DON JUAN BAUTISTA LEGRE
Judge: A. Argote.	)	VERSUS
CC: P. Pedesclaux.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF LAZARO ESTARDY.
P. 1 to 8.	)	
Spanish.	)	

---

The plaintiff, a resident of this City, brought action against the defendant to recover the sum of 350 Livres and 15 sueldos, which is equal to 43 pesos and two reales in silver coin. The plaintiff, presented as his evidence of his claim an account of same, alleging that he brought from the Port of Guarico, on account of the deceased Don Lazaro Estardy, certain effects listed in said account presented which amounted to 3285 livres and 10 sueldos, and that said deceased paid on said account the sum of 2928 livres and 15 sueldos, leaving said balance claimed. Wherefore, petitioner begged to order Don Santiago Monlon, testamentary executor of the succession of said deceased to pay the balance claimed.

The record shows that on Nov. 27, 1788, the Court ordered the account presented by the plaintiff to be translated by Don Juan Duforest, official translator, and to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Don Santiago Monlon, testamentary executor of said succession.

Then Don Santiago Monlon, testamentary executor of the deceased Don Lazaro Estardy, appeared before the Court and stated: That in answer to the plaintiff's petition, he has no objection to the payment of said debt, in consideration the knowledge he has of same,

(cont'd)

Doc. #1902  
cont'd.

and besides from what he has learned from the documents left by said deceased, and for these reasons he has no objection in the payment of said sum.

On Dec. 15, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk, to forward a copy of the answer of Don Santiago Monlon, to the attorney of the absent heirs of said deceased.

Then Santiago Felipe Guinault, attorney for the heirs of said deceased, filed his answer stating that he has no objection to the payment of the sum claimed by the plaintiff, as it is an honest debt contracted by said deceased, as evidenced by the documents that were found among the papers left by said deceased.

On Dec. 18, 1788, the Court after having examined the documents presented by the plaintiff, ordered Don Santiago Monlon, testamentary executor of said succession to pay the sum claimed to the plaintiff, plus the costs of these proceedings, which amounted to 10 pesos and 2 reales.

#23  
#10

File #2619. ) PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY PEDRO  
Nov. 27, 1788. ) VOISIN; AS TESTAMENTARY EXECUTOR  
Judge: Estevan ) OF THE ESTATE OF HIS DECEASED  
Miro. ) SISTER, ANNA VOISIN, TO OBTAIN  
CC: P. Pedesclaux.) A PERMIT TO SELL A CERTAIN PARCEL  
P. 1 to 9. ) OF LAND BELONGING TO SAID ESTATE.  
Spanish. )

---

The record shows that Pedro Voisin, as testamentary executor of the estate of his deceased sister, Anna Voisin, applied to the Court for a permit to sell a certain parcel of land situated at the corner of St. Ann and Bourbon streets, belonging to said estate; petitioner alleged that it is necessary to sell said land in order to distribute the proceeds thereof among the heirs. Petitioner begged the Court to grant him said permit so that the Court Clerk may draw the proper deed of sale.

The record further shows that in compliance with a Court order the petitioner presented a certified copy of the last will and testament of his deceased sister, in view of which the Court granted the requested permit.

#22  
#10

Dec 1, 1788

File 138 )  
 Judge: Juan )  
 del Postigo.)  
 Court clerk:)  
 Rafael Per- )  
 domo. )  
 PP. 1 to 7 )  
Spanish. )

CASE OF  
 ANTONIO CAVALIER  
 versus

THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHA-  
 BERT, AND CARLOTA LALANDE  
 DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a resident and merchant of this city, petitioned the court alleging, that on Oct. 3, of this year, the plaintiff, with other creditors, filed suit against the succession of the deceased Pedro Chabert, stating therein that said deceased was indebted to the plaintiff in a certain sum of money, as evidenced by the account duly presented; that the records of said suit together with the account are filed in the archives of the Court Clerk Pedro Pedesclaux; that in said records plaintiff claims that he ignores whether the widow of said deceased had declared in her last will and testament the claims of the deceased's creditors; and that in consideration of the fact that said widow was too ill at that time to obtain her acknowledgment of said claims, the petitioner together with the other creditors requested the court to take note of said claims to bring action later on against the Testamentary Executor of the estate of said widow in case of her death; and that due to the fact that said widow had died, petitioner begs the court to order the Testamentary Executor of the estate of said widow to acknowledge and pay said claim.

The court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to the attorney of the defendants.

Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants, answered the plaintiff's petition,

alleging that the plaintiff's claim is illegitimate because it was not properly made, but considering the good faith of the plaintiff and the bad reputation of the deceased's credit, he places the plaintiff's claim at the discretion of the court.

On Dec. 22, 1788, the court ordered the Court Clerk to forward Mendez's answer to Luis Lalande Dapremont, heir of said succession, who acknowledged the plaintiff's claim, and had no objection to have the succession of Chavert to pay the plaintiff's claim.

Then Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the succession of Chavert, in view of the heir's consent recommended the plaintiff's claim to be paid.

On Jan. 19, 1789 the court in view of the approval of the plaintiff's claim by the heirs and testamentary executor of the succession of Chavert, ordered said testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff claim of 137 pesos 1 real from the funds of said succession.

File 140 )  
 Dec. 1, 1788 )  
 Judge: Don )  
 Juan del )  
 Postigo )  
 Court Clerk: )  
 Rafael Per- )  
 domo. )  
 P. 1-8 )

CASE OF  
 PEDRO MARIN DE ARGOTE  
 vs  
 SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHABERT,  
 AND CARLOTA LALANDE DAPRE-  
 MONT.

The plaintiff brought action against the defendants to recover the sum of 150 pesos, alleging that as evidenced by the account presented the deceased Pedro Chabert bought from him 3 fishing nets at 50 pesos each; and that he was unable to collect from said deceased. Wherefore, he begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of the Succession of Chabert to pay the sum claimed.

On Dec. 1, 1788, the court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Antonio Mendez, attorney of the defendants, who answered the plaintiff's petition, stating that the defendant must establish his claim.

The Court on Dec. 5, 1788 ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the defendant's answer to Luis Lalonde Dapremont, heir of said deceased, who acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same.

Then the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the heir's petition to Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the estate of Pedro Chabert, and Doña Carlota Lalonde Dapremont, who begged the Court to order the payment of the plaintiff's claim.

The Court on Dec. 22, 1788, in

view of the establishment of the plaintiff's claim ordered the testamentary executor of the estate of Pedro Chabert, and Doña Carlota Lalande Dapremont, to pay the plaintiff his claim of 150 pesos.

File 147 )  
 Dec. 1, 1788 )  
 Judge: Don Juan )  
 Del Postigo. )  
 Court Clerk: )  
 R. Perdomo. )  
 P. 1-20. )  
Spanish. )

CASE OF  
 ANDRES CHILOC  
 VERSUS  
 THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO  
 CHAVERT AND DONA CARLOTA  
 LALANDE DAPREMONT.

The plaintiff brought action against the defendants, to recover the sum of 62 pesos for the value of fire wood and the rental of a boat. The plaintiff presented as evidence of his claim 12 promissory notes signed by the deceased Pedro Chavert. The plaintiff begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of the succession of Pedro Chavert to satisfy the sum claimed from the funds of said succession.

On Dec. 1, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to the attorney of the defendants, who answered the plaintiff's petition stating that Don Louis Lalande D'Apremont, heir of the deceased must first pass on the authenticity of the signatures of said deceased and his on the promissory notes, presented by the plaintiff, as evidence of his claim, before the plaintiff's claim can be satisfied.

On Dec. 6, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the defendant's answer to Louis Lalande D'Apremont, heir of said deceased, who acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and approved the payment of same.

The Court on Dec. 12, 1788 ordered the Court Clerk, to forward a copy of the heir's answer to Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the succession of Pedro Chavert and Doña Carlota

Doc. #1906  
(cont'd)

Lalande D'Apremont, who in view of the heirs approval of the plaintiff's claim, begged the Court to order payment of same.

On Dec. 22, 1788, the Court in view of the establishment of the plaintiff's claim ordered Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the succession of Pedro Chavert and Doña Carlota Lalande D'Apremont, to pay the plaintiff's claim of 62 pesos.

#25(A)  
#19

File #158.	)	
Dec. 1, 1788.	)	
Judge: Don Jean	)	CASE OF
del Postigo.	)	DON MATHURIN LANDRY
Court Clerk:	)	VERSUS
Rafael Perdomo	)	THE SUCCESSION OF DON
French	)	PEDRO CHABERT AND MA-
Spanish	)	DAME CARLOTA LALANDE
<u>P. 1-10.</u>	)	DAPREMONT.

The plaintiff, a resident of this City, brought action against the succession of Don Pedro Chabert to recover the sum of 135 pesos. The plaintiff presented as evidence of this claim a promissory note signed by said deceased and alleges that said sum is due for the value of a certain quantity of lumber and for services rendered to said decease. Wherefore, petitioner begs the Court to order the immediate payment of the sum claimed from the fund of the succession of said decease.

On Dec. 1, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to the Attorney of the heirs of said decease. Then Don Antonio Mendez, Attorney for said heirs answered the plaintiff's petition by denying the plaintiff's claim on the ground that said claim was illegitimate.

The record shows that Don Luis Lalande Dapremont, heir of said deceased, intervened alleging that due to the fact that he knows that said sum is owed to the plaintiff, he has no objections to have said claim paid from the funds of said succession.

Then Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the succession of said decease answered the plaintiff's petition stating that in consideration of the consent to the pay-

Doc. #1907  
(cont'd)

ment of said claim by Don Louis Lalande Depremont, heir of said decease, he recommends that the plaintiff's claim be paid.

The record shows that the Court after having examined the record, ordered the testamentary executor of the succession of Pedro Chabert to pay the plaintiff the sum claimed of 135 dollars.

#25 (A)  
#19

File #159.	)	
Dec. 1, 1788.	)	
Judge: Juan	)	CASE OF
del Postigo.	)	MAGDALENA BRASILLIER
CC: R. Perdomo	)	VERSUS
Spanish and	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT AND
French.	)	CARLOTA LALANDE DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, widow of Don Enrique Depres, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sums specified in the promissory note and account duly presented, alleging that said debt arose from money loaned to the deceased Pedro Chavert and for certain effects which said deceased purchased from the properties left by petitioner's deceased husband; wherefore, petitioner begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of the estate of said deceased to pay the sum claimed from the funds of the estate left by said deceased Pedro Chavert and Carlota Lalande Dapremon, his wife.

The record shows that by order of the Court a copy of the plaintiff's petition was forwarded to Antonio Mendez, attorney for the absent heirs of said deceased, who by begging the Court to have Luis Lalande Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased, to acknowledge the signatures of the deceased Pedro Chavert affixed on the promissory note and account presented by the plaintiff, as a requisite before the plaintiff's claim is satisfied.

Luis Lalande Dapremon acknowledged the signatures of the deceased and recommended the payment of the plaintiff's claim.

The record shows that the claim was not paid even though the court ordered its payment, and that the plaintiff again petitioned the court to order the payment of his claim.

The record is incomplete and the outcome of the case is not known.

File #170 )  
 Dec. 1, 1788 )  
 Judge: Juan )  
 Del Postigo.)  
 Court Clerk:)  
 R. Perdomo. )  
 P. 1-218. )  
 Spanish & )  
French. )

CASE OF  
 FELIPE LANO  
 VERSUS  
 THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO  
 CHAVERT AND CARLOTA LA-  
 LANDE D'APPREMONT.

The plaintiff, a resident of this City, and baker by trade, brought this action against the defendants to recover the past due sum of one hundred thirty one pesos and six and one half reales, alleging that the deceased Pedro Chavert was indebted to petitioner in said sum for bread and flour supplied, and that said deceased failed to pay for said supplies, wherefore, petitioner begs the Court to order the testamentary executor to satisfy the sum claimed from the estate left by said deceased.

The Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Antonio Mendez, Attorney for the absent heirs of said deceased, who answered the petition stating that he will approve the payment of the plaintiff's claim after Luis Lalande D'Apremont acknowledges the signature of the deceased Chavert, affixed on the promissory notes presented by the plaintiff. Luis Lalande D'Apremont, one of the heirs to the estate of said deceased, acknowledged the signature of the deceased and declared plaintiff's claim to be legitimate and recommended the testamentary executor to pay same from the estate left by said deceased. The Court in view of the heirs approval of the plaintiff's claim ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff's claim from the funds of the estate of said deceased.

File #179. )  
 Dec. 1, 1788. )  
 P. 1 to 8. )  
 Judge: Don Juan )  
 del Postigo. )  
 CC: R. Perdomo. )  
 Spanish. )

CASE OF  
 DON JOSEF LEBLANC  
 VERSUS  
 THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO CHAVERT  
 AND MADAM CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a resident and merchant of this city, petitioned the court alleging that on Oct. 3, 1788, petitioner with other creditors filed suit against the succession of Don Pedro Chavert, alleging therein that said deceased was indebted to petitioner in a certain sum of money, as evidenced by an account duly presented; that the records of said suit together with said account were filed in the archives of the court clerk, Pedro Pedesclaux; that in said records petitioner claimed to ignore whether the widow of said deceased had mentioned in her last will and testament the claims of the deceased's creditors; that due to her ill conditions at that time they were prevented from obtaining her acknowledgment of said claims; that the petitioner together with the other creditors requested the court to take note of said claim in order to bring action later on against the testamentary executor of the estate of said widow, in case of her death; and that due to the fact that said widow has died, petitioner begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of the estate of said widow to acknowledge and pay said claim.

On Dec. 1, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk to forward a copy of plaintiff's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants, who objected to the payment of the plaintiff's claim, on the grounds that the document the plaintiff presented does not bear the signature of said deceased Chavert.

On Dec. 5, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk  
 (cont'd)

(Doc. #1910)  
(cont'd)

to forward a copy of the defendant's answer to Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, who acknowledged the plaintiff's claim, and recommends same for payment, from the funds of the succession.

On Dec. 12, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon's answer to Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the succession of Chavert, who in view of the consent given by the interested party, recommended the payment of the plaintiff's claim.

On Dec. 22, 1788, the court in view of the approval of the plaintiff's claim by the interested parties ordered the testamentary executor of the succession of Chavert to satisfy the plaintiff the sum claimed.

#23

#10

File #186.	)	
Dec. 1, 1788.	)	
P. 1 to 11.	)	CASE OF
Judge: Don	)	DON JUAN BAUTISTA POEYFARRE
Juan del Postigo.	)	VERSUS
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO CHAVERT,
Spanish.	)	AND MADAM CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a resident and merchant of this city, petitioned the court alleging that on Oct. 3, of this year, the plaintiff with other creditors filed suit against the succession of the deceased Pedro Chavert, stating therein that said deceased was indebted to the plaintiff in a certain sum of money, as evidenced by the account duly presented; that the records of said suit together with the account are filed in the archives of the Court Clerk Pedro Pedesclaux; that in said records plaintiff claims that he ignores whether the widow of said deceased had declared in her last will and testament the claims of the deceased's creditors; and that in consideration of the fact that said widow was too ill at that time to obtain her acknowledgment of said claims, the petitioner together with the other creditors requested the court to take note of said claims to bring action later on against the testamentary executor of the estate of said widow in case of her death; and that due to the fact that said widow has died, petitioner begs the court to order the testamentary executor of the estate of said widow to pay said claim.

On Dec. 1, 1788, the Court ordered the Court clerk, to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney of the absent heirs of said deceased, who answered the petition contending that the documents presented by the plaintiff are illegal, and begged the court to order the plaintiff to prove his claim according to law and in default thereof to dismiss his claim and to condemn him to pay the costs of these proceedings.

The record shows that on Dec. 22, 1788, the Court  
(cont'd)

(#1911)  
cont'd.

ordered the court clerk to forward a copy of Don Antonio Mendez's answer to Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon heirs of the deceased Chavert, who acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommend same for payment.

On Dec. 23, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the answer of Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, to Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the succession of Chavert, who in view of said heirs' approval of the plaintiff's claim also recommended same for payment.

On Jan. 19, 1788, the Court after having examined the documents, ordered the testamentary executor of the succession of Chavert to satisfy the plaintiff the sum claimed.

The record is incomplete and the outcome of this case is not known.

#23  
#10

File #123. ) PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY DON ALEJ-  
Dec. 4, 1788. ) ANDRO BAUDIN, CONCERNING THE SALE  
P. 1 to 3. ) OF HIS FRIGATE NAMED "EL FELIX".  
Judge: Don )  
E. Miro. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. ) Don Alejandro Baudin, a resident and  
Spanish. ) merchant of this city, instituted  
these proceedings alleging that he  
is the owner of the frigate named  
"The Felix", that he is indebted to  
the crew of said frigate for twenty-  
three months of back salaries, and  
that he lost all his properties dur-  
ing the last fire that occurred in this city with the  
exception of said frigate. Wherefore, he begged the  
court to order the court clerk, to announce for sale  
said frigate at public auction so that from the pro-  
ceeds of said sale he may satisfy said salaries and  
the costs of these proceedings.

On Dec. 4, 1788, the court granted  
Don Alejandro Baudin's petition.

The record then shows that Don Alej-  
andro Baudin petitioned the court, alleging that in  
order to obtain authorization to sell his frigate it is  
convenient for him that the court, order the court clerk  
to deliver to him a copy of a certain petition that he  
presented to the court, after the fire that occurred in  
this city, so that the sale of said frigate may be ef-  
fected and from the proceeds of said sale said debts,  
plus the costs of those proceedings be satisfied.

On July 8, 1790, the court granted  
Don Alejandro Baudin's prayer.

#23  
#10

File #144 )  
Dec. 5, 1788 )  
P. to 8 )  
Judge: Don Juan )  
del Postigo )  
CC: R. Perdomo )  
Spanish )

DOCUMENT #1913  
BOX 52

CASE OF  
MARIA LUISA (FREE NEGRESS)  
Versus  
THE SUCCESSION OF THE DECEASED  
DON PEDRO CHAVERT.

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought action against the succession of the deceased Don Pedro Chavert, to recover the sum of 50 pesos. The plaintiff presented as evidence of her claim a promissory note of 25 pesos and alleged that the petitioner delivered to said deceased a few days before his death, another 25 pesos, for which sum said deceased did not issue any receipt to petitioner at that time, due to ill conditions, but that the relatives and heirs of said deceased know that petitioner delivered said sum to said deceased, which two sums amount to 50 pesos. Wherefore, petitioner begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of the estate of said deceased to satisfy the sum claimed.

On Dec. 5, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants.

The record shows that Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants, in answer to the plaintiff's petition denying the plaintiff's allegation of the alleged 25 pesos delivered to said deceased without a receipt on the grounds of insufficient evidence and as to the promissory note apparently signed by said deceased Chavert

(cont'd)

to order Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, to acknowledge the signature of said deceased on the note presented by the plaintiff and in the event said signature is found to be authentic, to order the payment of said claim.

The record further shows that on Dec. 17, 1788, Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon declared authentic the signature of said deceased and approved the payment of the plaintiff's claim of 50 pesos.

On Dec. 18, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon's answer to Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the succession of Chavert, who in view of the heirs' approval of the plaintiff's claim, recommended the payment of same.

On Dec. 22, 1788, the Court in view of the consent given by the interested parties, ordered the testamentary executor of the succession of Chavert, to pay the plaintiff's claim of 50 pesos from the funds of said succession.

File #146. )  
 Dec. 5, 1788. )  
 P. 1 to 8. )  
 Judge: Don )  
 Juan del Postigo )  
 CC: R. Perdomo. )  
 Spanish. )

CASE OF  
 ROGUEN CLARY  
 VERSUS  
 THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO  
 CHAVERT.

The plaintiff, a resident of this City, brought action against the defendant, to recover the sum of 85 pesos. The plaintiff, presented an account as evidenced of his claim and alleging that inasmuch as the properties of the deceased Pedro Chavert have been sold, he begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of the estate of said deceased to pay the sum claimed from the proceeds of the properties left by said deceased.

On Dec. 5, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Antonio Mendez, attorney of the defendant, who answered the plaintiff's petition stating that he considers the plaintiff's claim to be just, as he personally saw the plaintiff performing carpentry work in the house of said deceased, and that the plaintiff's claim may be satisfied after Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, has approved said claim for payment.

On Dec. 17, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the defendant's answer to Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, who acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and approved the payment of same.

On Dec. 18, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the answer of Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, to Don

(cont'd)

(Doc. #1914)

cont'd.

Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the succession of Don Pedro Chavert, who in view of the heir's approval of the plaintiff's claim, begs the Court to order the payment of same.

On Dec. 22, 1788, the Court ordered Don Pedro Piernas testamentary executor of the succession of Pedro Chavert, to satisfy the plaintiff his claim of 85 pesos.

#23

#10

File #164.	)	
Dec. 5, 1788.	)	
Judge: Juan	)	CASE OF
del Postigo	)	PEDRO NICOLAS AUBRI
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	VERSUS
Spanish and	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT
French.	)	AND CARLOTA LALANDE DAPREMON.
P. 1 to 14.	)	

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, through his Attorney, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of forty-nine pesos, alleging that as evidenced by the account duly presented the deceased Pedro Chavert was indebted to petitioner in said sum, and that the deceased's wife also had died without satisfying said claim; wherefore, petitioner begged the Court to order the testamentary executor to pay the sum claimed from the proceeds of the sale of the properties left by said deceased.

The record shows that by order of the Court a copy of the plaintiff's petition was forwarded to Antonio Mendez, attorney for the heirs of said deceased.

Mendez answered the plaintiff's petition contending that the document presented by the plaintiff to prove his claim was illegal, and that taking into consideration that said deceased was accustomed to obtain credit from all his neighbors it was probable that said deceased did owe the sum claimed by the plaintiff therefore he begged the Court to order whatever it deemed just.

The Court taking into consideration Mendez's answer and with the approval of Luis Lalande Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased, ordered the Testamentary Executor to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds of the estate left by said deceased.

File #187. )  
 Dec. 5, 1788. )  
 P. 1 to 9. )  
 Judge: Don )  
 Juan del Postigo. )  
 CC: R. Perdomo. )  
 Spanish. )

CASE OF  
 DON CRISTOBAL DE ARMAS Y ARGILIA  
 VERSUS  
 THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO CHAVERT.

The plaintiff, a resident and merchant of this city, brought action against the succession of Don Pedro Chavert, to collect the past due sum of 116 pesos and 1 real for a certain promissory note, plus six pesos and 2 reales, for another account; as evidence of said claims the plaintiff presented a promissory note and an account, alleging that said promissory note was endorsed by Don Francisco Duplesy, to him and since the sale of the properties of said succession have started, petitioner begged the Court to order Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, and Don Antonio Mendez, attorney of the absent heir of said deceased to acknowledge the signatures of said deceased on the documents presented, and if the signatures are found to be authentic, to order the testamentary executor to satisfy the sum claimed.

The record shows that on Dec. 5, 1788, the court ordered the Court Clerk, to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, and also to Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon heir of said deceased, said parties after having examined the documents presented by the plaintiff as evidence of his claim, declared that the signatures on said documents were that of said deceased, and that the plaintiff's claim was legitimate, and they therefore recommended same for payment.

On Dec. 18, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk, to forward a copy of the answers of Don Antonio Mendez and of Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, to Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of said succession, who in view of the approval of the plaintiff's claim by said interested parties, also recommend said claim for payment.

(cont'd)

(Doc. #1916)

On Dec. 22, 1788, the court after having examined the records, ordered the testamentary executor of said deceased, to satisfy the plaintiff the sum claimed.

The record is incomplete and the outcome of this case is not known.

#23

#10

File #152.	)	CASE OF
Dec. 10, 1788.	)	PEDRO PEDESCLAUX
Judge: Juan del	)	VERSUS
Postigo.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	AND CARLOTA LALANDE DAPREMON.
P. 1 to 16.	)	
Spansih and French.	)	

The plaintiff, Notary Clerk and recorder of mortgages of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the past due sum of eighty pesos, which the deceased Pedro Chavert collected from one named Songueville for account of the plaintiff, as evidenced by the account duly presented. The plaintiff begged the Court to order the testamentary executor to pay said claim from the funds of the estate left by said deceased.

The record shows that Luis Lalande Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased acknowledged the plaintiff's claim as legitimate and recommended the payment of same.

The testamentary executor also approves the plaintiff's claim and the Court instructed him to pay said claim from the funds of the estate left by said deceased.

#24

#10

File #167.	)	
Dec. 10, 1788.	)	
P. 1 to 11.	)	CASE OF
Judge: Don J.	)	DON FRANCISCO RIANO, AS
del Postigo.	)	AGENT OF DON JUAN RUIZ
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	DE ALVARADO
Spanish.	)	VERSUS
	)	THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO
	)	CHAVERT AND MADAM CARLOTA LALANDA
	)	DAFREMON.

The plaintiff, as agent of Don Juan Antonio Ruiz de Alvarado, instituted these proceedings against the defendants, alleging that Pedro Aragon y Villegas deposited with the present Court Clerk, a certain obligation of the deceased Captain Pedro Chavert, for the sum of 619 pesos and 6 reales, to pay for the court fees of two law suits, one with said principal and the other with the present Court Clerk, that said obligation was not paid by said deceased, and that as the properties of said deceased are now being sold at public auction; he therefore begged the court that from the proceeds of the sale of the properties of said deceased said court fees be paid and to order the court clerk to forward a separate receipt to said interested parties or to return said obligation cancelled.

On Dec. 10, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition attached to the copy of the obligation presented, to the attorney of the defendants.

The record shows that said Antonio Mendez, approved the plaintiff's claim, and that the court on Dec. 11, 1788, ordered the court clerk to forward a copy of the answer of said Mendez's to Don Luis

(cont'd)

(Doc. 1918)

cont'd.

Lalanda Dapremon, heir of the succession of Chavert, who admitted the plaintiff's claim and recommended same for payment and that the court on Dec. 12, 1788, ordered the court clerk, to forward a copy of the answer of said Dapremon to the testamentary executor, who also approved the payment of the plaintiff's claim.

The record ends with a decree from the court dated Dec. 15, 1788, ordering said testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff's claim from the funds of said succession.

#23

#10

File #168.	)	
Dec. 10, 1788.	)	CASE OF
P. 1 to 8.	)	DON FRANCISCO CAISERGUES
Judge: Don	)	VERSUS
J. Del Postigo.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	CHAVERT AND MADAM CARLOTA LA-
Spanish.	)	LANDA DAPREMON.

---

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, instituted these proceedings against the succession of Pedro Chavert and Madam Carlota Lalanda Dapremon to recover the past due sum of 30 pesos. The plaintiff, presented as evidence of his claim a promissory note and begged the court to order the testamentary executor of the succession of Chavert to acknowledge the authenticity of said deceased's signature on said promissory note presented, and to order said testamentary executor to pay said claim from the funds of said succession.

On Dec. 10, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk, to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants, who answered the plaintiff's petition stating that the promissory note presented by the plaintiff was signed by the deceased Madam Carlota Lalanda Dapremon, wife of the deceased Chavert, and before said claim is satisfied Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, must acknowledge the signature of said deceased on said promissory note presented by the plaintiff.

On Dec. 17, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk to forward a copy of the answer of Don Antonio Mendez, to Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, who acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended same for payment.

(cont'd)

(Doc. #1919)

cont'd.

The record shows that on Dec. 18, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk to forward a copy of Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon's answer to Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of said succession, who in view of the consent given by said interested parties also recommended said claim for payment.

On Dec. 22, 1788, the court after having examined the records, ordered the testamentary executor to satisfy the plaintiff the sum claimed.

#23

#10

File #189. )  
Dec. 10, 1788. ) CASE OF  
P. 1 to 8. ) MADAM FRANCISCO MONGET, WIDOW OF  
Judge: Don J. ) COFIGNY  
del Postigo. ) VERSUS  
CC: R. Perdomo. ) THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO CHAVERT  
Spanish. ) AND MADAM CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

---

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought action against the succession of Don Pedro Chavert, to recover the sum of 44 pesos. The plaintiff, presented as evidence of her claim a promissory note, and begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of said succession to satisfy the sum claimed.

On Dec. 10, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk, to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants, who begged the court to order Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, to acknowledge the signature of the deceased Chavert on said promissory note presented by the plaintiff, before said claim is satisfied.

The record shows that on Dec. 18, 1788, ~~after~~ Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of the deceased Chavert, acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended same for payment.

On Dec. 20, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk to forward a copy of the answer of Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, to Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of said deceased, who in consideration of the consent given by said interested party, recommended said claim to be paid.

(cont'd)

(Doc. #1920)

On Dec. 22, 1788, the court having examined the documents, ordered the testamentary executor of the succession of said deceased, to satisfy the plaintiff the sum claimed.

#23  
#10

90

File #150.	)	CASE OF
Dec. 11, 1788.	)	PEDRO LARTIGA
Judge: Juan del	)	VERSUS
Postigo.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	AND CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.
P. 1 to 18.	)	
Spanish and	)	
French.	)	

---

The plaintiff, a resident and merchant of this City, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of sixty seven pesos, alleging that as evidenced by the promissory note duly presented the deceased, Carlota Lalanda Dapremon was indebted to petitioner in said sum and that the properties left by said deceased were being sold at public auction; wherefore, petitioner begged the court to order the testamentary executor to pay the sum claimed from the proceeds of said sale.

The record shows that the claim presented by the plaintiff was acknowledged by Luis Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased.

The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgment ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff his claim.

#24  
#10

File #161.	)	
Dec. 11, 1788.	)	
Judge: Juan	)	CASE OF
del Postigo.	)	SILVESTRE SARPY
CC: R. Perdomo.)	)	VERSUS
P. 1 to 18.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT AND
Spanish and	)	CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.
French.	)	
<hr/>		

The plaintiff, a resident and merchant of this city, brought this action to recover the sum of 167 pesos and 6 1/2 reales, alleging that as evidenced by the account, duly presented, the deceased Pedro Chavert contracted said debt by having purchased from petitioner certain miscellaneous merchandise; wherefore, petitioner begs the court to order the testamentary executor of the defendants to pay the sum claimed.

Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of the deceased Pedro Chavert and Carlota Lalanda Dapremon, acknowledged the claim presented by the plaintiff and petitioned the court to order the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff's claim from the funds of the estate of said deceased.

The record shows that by order of the court the plaintiff's claim was satisfied.

#24  
#10

File #182.	)	
Dec. 11, 1788.	)	
Judge: Juan	)	CASE OF
del Postigo.	)	JUAN DUPUY
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	VERSUS
P. 1 to 9.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT.
Spanish and	)	
French.	)	

---

The plaintiff, a resident and merchant of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of five hundred eleven pesos, alleging that as evidenced by the promissory note and account duly presented the deceased Pedro Chavert was indebted to him in said sum; and that he has been informed that the properties left by said deceased are being sold at public auction; wherefore, he begged the court to order the testamentary executor to pay said claim from the proceeds of the sale of said properties.

The record shows that Luis Lalande Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased acknowledged the plaintiff's claim, and recommended same for payment to the testamentary executor.

The Court taking into consideration the acknowledgement made by said Luis Lalande Dapremon, and heir of Chavert ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds of the estate left by said deceased.

#24  
#10

File #143. )  
Dec. 12, 1788. )  
Judge: Juan del )  
Postigo. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
Spanish and )  
French. )  
P. 1 to 15. )

---

CASE OF  
ANGELA MONGET  
VERSUS  
THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT AND  
CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a resident and merchant of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of ninety three pesos seven and one half reales, alleging that as evidenced by the promissory note and account duly presented the defendants are indebted to him said sum; wherefore he begged the court to order the testamentary executor to pay said claim from the proceeds of the sale of the properties left by the deceased Pedro Chavert and his wife Carlota Lalanda Dapremon.

Luis Lalanda Dapremon one of the heirs of said deceased acknowledged and recommended the payment of the claim presented by the plaintiff. The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgement ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds of the estate left by said deceased.

DOCUMENT #1925.  
BOX 52.

File #149.	)	CASE OF
Dec. 12, 1788.	)	ANGELA MONGET
Judge: Juan del	)	VERSUS
Postigo.	)	PEDRO CHAVERT AND CARLOTA IALANDA
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	DAPREMON.
Spanish.	)	
P. 1 to 5.	)	

---

The plaintiff, widow of Antonio Dejan, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of eight hundred thirty pesos for the value of a certain negro slave that the deceased Pedro Chavert purchased from him, as evidenced by the obligation duly presented; wherefore, he begged the court to order the testamentary executor to pay said claim from the proceeds of the sale of the properties left by said deceased.

The Court ordered as prayed for by the plaintiff.

#24

#10

DOCUMENT NO. 1926.  
BOX 52.

File #154.	)	PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY
Dec. 12, 1788.	)	MARIA DABY IN ORDER TO EMAN-
Judge: Estevan Miro.	)	CIPATE FROM SLAVERY LUISA
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	HELENA AND MELISAIRE, HER
P. 1 to 16.	)	DAUGHTER AND GRAND-DAUGHTERS
Spanish.	)	RESPECTIVELY.
	)	

---

María Daby, a free negress and resident of this city, instituted these proceedings, alleging that among the slaves owned by the succession of Pedro Chavert and Carlota Lalanda Dapremón, there is a negress named Luisa and her two daughters named Helena and Melisaire, who were valued at one thousand two hundred fifty pesos, and that she wishes to emancipate said slaves for the love she bears on them as she is mother of Luisa and grand-mother of Helena and Melisaire; wherefore, she begged the court to order the testamentary executor in charge of the properties of said succession to issue said slaves their proper letters of freedom for the price of their appraisal which price she is ready to pay promptly.

The record shows that the court granted said letters of freedom after it has been approved by the testamentary executor of said succession and by Luis Lalanda Dapremón, one of the heirs of said deceased.

#24

#10

File #174.	)	
Dec. 12, 1788.	)	
P. 1 to 9.	)	
Judge: Don J.	)	
del Postigo.	)	CASE OF
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	DON LUIS LALANDA DAPREMON
Spanish.	)	VERSUS
<hr/>		THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO
		CHAVERT AND MADAM CARLOTA LA-
		LANDA DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought this action against the succession of Don Pedro Chavert, and Madam Carlota Lalanda Dapremon, to recover the sum of 1440 pesos. The plaintiff presented as evidence of his claim three promissory notes, alleging that said debt originated for 4 years rent of six negro slaves that petitioner rented to said deceased Chavert at the rate of 360 pesos in Mexican currency per year; also for a loan of 233 pesos and 6 reales, in money of this Province, and for the value of 125 barrels of corn. Wherefore petitioner begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of the succession of Chavert to satisfy the sum claimed.

On Dec. 12, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk, to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendant, who answered stating that in view of the promissory notes presented, apparently signed by said deceased Chavert, to order the court clerk to compare the signature of said Chavert, with the signature of said deceased in his files.

On Feb. 5, 1789, the court clerk, in compliance with the preceding decree, compared the signature of said deceased, on the promissory notes presented by the plaintiff found the signature on said promissory note presented to be the authentic signature of the deceased Chavert.

(cont'd)

(Doc. #1927)  
cont'd.

Then Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants, in view of the court clerk's declaration, recommended the plaintiff's claim to be paid.

On Feb. 9, 1789, the court ordered the court clerk to forward a copy of the answer of said Mendez, to Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the succession of Chavert, who also recommended the plaintiff's claim to be paid from the funds of said succession.

On March 31, 1789, the court after having examined the record ordered the testamentary executor of said succession to pay the plaintiff's claim.

#23  
#10

File #176.	)	CASE OF
Dec. 12, 1788.	)	LORENZO WILTZ
Judge: Juan del	)	VERSUS
Postigo.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT AND
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.
Spanish and	)	
French.	)	

---

The plaintiff, Lieutenant of the Militia of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of one hundred twenty-five pesos, alleging that as evidenced by the receipt duly presented the deceased Pedro Chavert was indebted to him in said sum which he paid for account of said deceased to Leonardo Mazange for five barrels of indigo seed which said Mazange had sold to the deceased; wherefore he begged the court to order the testamentary executor to pay said claim from the funds of the estate of said deceased.

Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased, acknowledged and recommended the payment of the plaintiff's claim. The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgement ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds of the estate of said deceased.

#24  
#10

File #185. )

Dec. 12, 1788. )

P. 1 to 11. )

Judge: Don )

Juan del Postigo. )

CC: R. Perdomo. )

Spanish. )

CASE OF

JOSEF ALLEMAND

VERSUS

THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO CHAVERT,  
AND MADAM CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a merchant of this city, brought action against the defendants to recover the past due sum of 226 pesos 6 1/2 reales. The petitioner presented as evidence an account of said claim, and begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of the succession of Pedro Chavert to satisfy the sum claimed.

On Dec. 12, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk, to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants, who answered the plaintiff's petition contending that the plaintiff, did not present sufficient evidence to prove his claim according to law, and in default thereof to dismiss his claim.

On Dec. 17, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk, to forward a copy of Mendez's answer to Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, and to Don Pedro Piernas testamentary executor of said deceased, said parties approved the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same.

On Dec. 22, 1788, the court in view of the consent given by the interested parties, ordered the testamentary executor of the succession of Pedro Chavert to satisfy the plaintiff the sum claimed.

The record further shows that Don Antonio Cavellier, petitioned the court alleging that he has been authorized by the plaintiff, to collect the payment of the sum claimed, as evidenced by the power of attorney presented, and therefore begged the court to order the defendant to pay him as agent of the plaintiff.

The record is incomplete and the outcome of this case is not known.

File #188.	)	
P. 1 to 9.	)	
Judge: Don	)	CASE OF
Juan Del	)	DON CARLOS VIVAN AND COMP.
Postigo	)	VERSUS
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO CHAVERT
Spanish.	)	AND MADAM CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.
Dec. 12, 1788.	)	

The plaintiffs, an established company of this City, brought this action against the succession of the deceased Don Pedro Chavert, to recover the past due sum of 101 pesos and 4 reales. The plaintiffs, presented as evidence of their claim an account and a promissory note, alleging that said deceased failed to pay said claim on demand. Wherefore, petitioners beg the Court to order the Succession of Chavert, to satisfy the sum claimed; and to also order Don Santiago Chapron, to declare under oath whether it is true he endorsed to petitioners said promissory note presented, as payment of a certain debt, and to further order the Court Clerk, to compare the signature of said deceased on said promissory note presented, with the signatures of said deceased in his file.

On Dec. 12, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk, to forward a copy of the plaintiffs' petition to the attorney of the defendant, who answered the plaintiffs' petition contending that said account and promissory note presented by the plaintiffs may be paid after Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of the deceased Chavert, has approved said account and promissory note for payment.

On Dec. 17, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk, to forward a copy of the defendant's answer, to Don Luis  
(cont'd)

Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, who acknowledged the plaintiffs' claim and approved the payment of same.

On Dec. 20, 1788, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the heirs' answer to Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the Succession of said deceased, who in view of the heirs' approval of the plaintiffs' claim, begs the Court to order the payment of same.

On Dec. 22nd, 1788, the Court in view of the establishment of the plaintiffs' claim, ordered Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor of the succession of Pedro Chavert and Madam Carlota Lalanda, to pay the plaintiffs' claim of 101 pesos and 4 reales.

#23

#10

File #2624	)	
Dec. 12, 1788.	)	
Judge: Don E.	)	CASE OF
Miro.	)	DON CARLOS VIVAN AND COMPANY
CC: P. Pedesclaux	)	VERSUS
Spanish.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO
P. 1 to 11.	)	BIDOW HERBERT.

The plaintiff, an established company of this city, brought action against the succession of the deceased Don Pedro Bidow Herbert, to recover the sum of 1983 pesos and 2 1/2 reales. The plaintiff presented a promissory note as evidence of his claim, and petitioned the court to compare said deceased's signature in his files with the signature of said deceased on said note presented, and also to order Don Alejandro Baudin, a merchant of this city, to acknowledge his signature on the back of said promissory note presented, and to declare whether it is true that he transferred said note to petitioners for the sum claimed, and once this is done, to forward to petitioners said proceedings to promote whatever may be convenient.

On Dec. 12, 1788, the Court granted the plaintiff's prayer.

The record shows that the Court Clerk, in compliance with the preceding decree found the signature on the promissory note presented by the plaintiffs to be the authentic signature of said deceased, and that Don Alejandro Baudin acknowledges his signature admitting having transferred said promissory note to the plaintiffs but not for the sum claimed, but for a certain quantity of indigo that he bought from the plaintiffs.

Then the plaintiffs petitioned the court alleging that as evidenced by the declarations of the Court Clerk and of Don Alejandro Baudin, they have proven the legitimacy of their claim and therefore begged the Court to order Pedro Sauve, testamentary executor of the succession of Pedro Bidow Herbert to satisfy the sum claimed.

(cont'd)

The record further shows that Pedro Sauve, testamentary executor of the succession of Pedro Bidow Herbert approved the plaintiffs' claim and recommended same to be paid from the funds of the succession.

On September 26, 1789, the Court ordered the Court Clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiffs' petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney of said succession and also to Don Santiago Felipe Guinault, curator ad litem of the Herbert minors; said parties after informed of the plaintiffs' claim, stated: That they did not have any objection to the payment of the plaintiffs' claim from the funds of the succession.

On October 2nd, the Court ordered the testamentary executor of the succession of Pedro Chavert to satisfy the plaintiffs the sum claimed, plus interest and the costs of these proceedings, which amounted to 14 pesos and 3 reales.

#23

#10

File #2625. )  
Dec. 12, 1788. )  
Judge: Don )  
Antonio, Argote. )  
CC: P. Pedesclaux. )  
Spanish. )

---

CASE OF  
DON JOSEPH WILTZ  
VERSUS  
JUAN BTA SANCARTIER.

The plaintiff, through his attorney, Antonio Mendez, brought action against the defendants, alleging that on Nov. 2, of last year the defendant in the place called Baratarian, without reason seriously beat wounded and endangered the life of a certain negro slave named Santiago, of his ownership, in the presence of Batista Medea-go, Agustin Langlua, and Telamaco, all free negroes, as evidenced by the medical certificate duly presented. Wherefore, petitioner begged the court to admit this information and to order the arrest of the defendant and to seize his properties until the final settlement of this case.

On Dec. 12, 1788, the court granted the plaintiff's petition and ordered the public translator to translate the document presented into Spanish.

The record is incomplete and the outcome of this case is not known.

#23  
#10

File #172.	)	
Dec. 13, 1788.	)	
P. 1 to 7.	)	
Judge: Don Juan	)	CASE OF
del Postigo.	)	DON LUIS GIONELLINA
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	VERSUS
Spanish.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO CHAVERT
	)	AND MADAM CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a surgeon of this city, petitioned the court alleging that the succession of Madam Carlota Lalanda Dapremon, widow of the deceased Don Pedro Chavert, is indebted to petitioner the past due sum of 16 pesos for medicines that petitioner supplied to said deceased, as evidenced by the accounts presented. Wherefore, petitioner begs the court to order the testamentary executor of the succession of said deceased to satisfy the sum claimed.

On Dec. 13, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants, who approved the plaintiff's claim and recommended same for payment.

The record further shows that on Dec. 17, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk, to forward a copy of the answer of the attorney for the defendants to Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, who acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and also recommended same for payment.

On Dec. 22, 1788, the Court taking in consideration Mendez's answer and the approval given by Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased, ordered the testamentary executor to satisfy the sum claimed from the funds of the succession.

#23

#10

File #29. )  
Dec. 13, 1788. )  
Judge: Estevan )  
Miro. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
P. 1 to 15. )  
Spanish. )

---

CASE OF  
PABLO DUPRESNE  
VERSUS  
JOSEF FERRY.

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought this action against the defendant to recover the sum of two hundred pesos; alleging that said sum is for his services rendered in the auditing of certain account books of the defendant's concern and that the defendant has refused to pay said claim on demand, wherefore petitioner begged the court to order the defendant to answer under oath the interrogatory duly presented and to deliver to petitioner a copy of the defendant's declaration in order to promote whatever action may be convenient.

The record shows that the defendant properly answered the interrogatory presented by the plaintiff and in his testimony he admitted owing said sum of two hundred pesos to the plaintiff.

The record further shows that the plaintiff petitioned the court to issue a writ of execution against the person and properties of the defendant in order to satisfy the sum claimed, plus interest and cost of these proceedings. However this writ of execution was not enforced as the defendant paid the plaintiff his claim.

#24  
#10

File #183.	)	
Dec. 13, 1788.	)	
Judge: Juan	)	CASE OF
del Postigo.	)	JUAN PA ILLET
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	VERSUS
P. 1 to 16.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT AND
Spanish and	)	CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.
French.	)	

---

The plaintiff, a resident and merchant of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of seventeen pesos and four reales, alleging that as evidenced by the account duly presented the deceased Pedro Chavert was indebted to him in said sum; wherefore, he begged the Court to order the testamentary executor to pay said claim from the funds of the estate left by said deceased.

The record shows that Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended same for payment and that the court in view thereof ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff's claim from the funds of the estate left by said deceased.

#24  
#10

File #178. )  
Dec. 15, 1788. )  
Judge: Juan )  
del Postigo. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
P. 1 to 14. )  
Spanish and )  
French. )

CASE OF  
JUAN BAUTISTA LABATUT  
VERSUS  
SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT AND  
CARLOTA LALANDE DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a resident and merchant of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of thirty seven pesos and one and one half reales, alleging that as evidenced by the account duly presented the defendants are indebted to him in said sum; wherefore, he begged the court to order the testamentary executor of the succession of Pedro Chavert to pay said claim.

Luis Lalande Dapremon, one of the heirs of the deceased Pedro Chavert and Carlota Lalande Dapremon, acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same. The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgment ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to said succession.

#24  
#10

File #200	)	
Dec. 15, 1788.	)	
Judge: Juan	)	CASE OF
del Postigo.	)	CLAUDIO FRANCISCO GIROT
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	AND COMPANY
P. 1 to 10.	)	VERSUS
Spanish and	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT AND
French.	)	CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

---

The plaintiffs, a mercantile company established in this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of three hundred sixty nine pesos, one and one half reales, alleging that as evidenced by the account and three promissory notes duly presented the defendants are indebted to petitioners said sum; wherefore, petitioners begged the court to order the testamentary executor of the succession to pay said claim from the proceeds of the sale of the properties left by the deceased Pedro Chavert and Carlota Lalanda Dapremon.

The record shows that Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased, acknowledged the plaintiffs' claim and recommended the payment of same. The Court taking into consideration said heir's acknowledgment ordered the testamentary executor of said succession to pay the plaintiffs' claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to said succession.

#24  
#10

File #101.	)	CASE OF
Dec. 16, 1788.	)	GUILLEMMO MARRE
P. 1 to 10.	)	VERSUS
Judge: Don	)	THE SUCCESSION OF LUIS PATUS.
Estevan Miro.	)	
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	
Spanish.	)	

---

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought action against the succession of Don Luis Patús, to recover the past due sum of 179 pesos and 3 reales. The petitioner presented as evidence of his claim a promissory note of said deceased and begged the court to forward a writ of seizure with a copy of this petition and the promissory note presented, to Don Noel Soilleau, commandant of the Post of Avoyelles, who has jurisdiction over the properties left by said deceased in order that said commandant may compel the succession of said deceased to satisfy the sum claimed.

On Dec. 16, 1788, the court granted the plaintiff's prayer and ordered Don Joseph Duforest, public translator, to translate the documents presented by the plaintiff into Spanish, and also ordered the plaintiff to pay for the costs of these proceedings, which costs will be reimbursed later on from the funds of the succession.

The record shows that the plaintiff then petitioned the court alleging that last year he was granted by the court a warrant to be sent to the commander of the post of Avoyelles, instructing said commander to satisfy said claim from the proceeds of the sale of the properties of said deceased, but that he failed to send said warrant because he was informed that the proceeds of the sale of the properties of said deceased were going to be forwarded to this city, and that now said funds are in custody of the general receiver. Wherefore, petitioner begged the court to order the payment of said claim plus the costs of these proceedings, from

(cont'd)

(Doc. #1937)

cont'd.

said funds.

On June 4, 1789, the court ordered the court clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to the general receiver, or to his representative Don Matias Alpuente.

Then Don Matias Alpuente, on behalf of Don Rodolfo Josef Ducros, General Receiver, in answer to said petition, admitted having custody of the funds belonging to the succession of Patus, and that said funds were placed at the disposition of the court.

On June 6, 1789, the court ordered the Court Clerk to bring the record in order to be examined and after it was examined the court ordered the general receiver to satisfy from the funds of the succession of Patus, the plaintiff's claim, plus the costs of these proceedings which amounted to 16 pesos and 6 reales.

#23

#10

File #116. )  
Dec. 16, 1788. )  
P. 1 to 5. )  
Judge: Gov. )  
Miro. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
Spanish. )

---

PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY MARGARITA  
DEFIANDRES, TO OBTAIN A PERMIT TO  
SELL A CERTAIN PARCEL OF LAND OF HER  
OWNERSHIP.

Margarita Deflandres, widow of Francisco Santrelle, a resident of this city, petitioned the court alleging that about nine months ago her husband died leaving as his only property a certain house situated in this city, which was burned during the fire that occurred in this city on March 24, which loss and damages left petitioner in a very poor condition and unable to rebuild said house. Wherefore petitioner begged the court to grant her the necessary permit to sell said parcel of land and to order Don Adrian Josef de la Place, public appraiser to estimate said land.

On Dec. 1788, the court granted Margarita Deflandres' prayer.

Then on Dec. 16, 1788, Don Adrian Josef de la Place in compliance with the preceding decree, appraised said parcel of land at 600 pesos.

Then Margarita Deflandres petitioned the court to grant her the necessary permit to divide her properties among her three children.

On Jan. 16, 1789, the court granted Margarita Deflandres' prayer.

File #171. )  
Dec. 16, 1788. )  
Judge: Juan del )  
Postigo. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
P. 1 to 9. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

CASE OF  
FELIPE FARGE  
VERSUS  
THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT AND  
CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of one hundred pesos, alleging that as evidenced by the account duly presented the defendants are indebted to petitioner in said sum for his services rendered as a taylor and for merchandise supplied to the deceased Pedro Chavert; wherefore, petitioner begged the court to order the testamentary executor of the estate left by said deceased to pay said claim.

Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased, acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same. The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgment ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff's claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to said succession.

File #175.	)	
Dec. 16, 1788.	)	
Judge: Juan	)	CASE OF
del Postigo.	)	LUIS DREUX DE GENTILLY
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	VERSUS
P. 1 to 16.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT
Spanish and French.	)	AND CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

---

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of four hundred ninety pesos and seven reales, alleging that as evidenced by the promissory note duly presented the deceased Pedro Chavert was indebted to petitioner in said sum and that they are selling the properties left by said deceased at public auction; wherefore petitioner begged the court to order the testamentary executor of said deceased to pay said claim from the proceeds of the sale of said properties.

Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same. The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgement ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff's claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to said succession.

#24  
#10

File #184.	)	
Dec. 16, 1788.	)	CASE OF
P. 1 to 7.	)	JOSEF COSSIE
Judge: Don Juan	)	VERSUS
del Postigo.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO CHAVERT
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	AND MADAM CARLOTA LALANDA.
Spanish.	)	

---

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought action against the succession of Don Pedro Chavert to recover the past due sum of 70 pesos. The plaintiff presented as evidence of his claim a promissory note, and begged the court to order the testamentary executor of the estate of Chavert, to satisfy the sum claimed from the funds of said succession.

On Dec. 16, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk, to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants, who in answer to the plaintiff's petition begged the Court to order Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, to acknowledge the plaintiff's claim before it is satisfied.

On Jan. 7, 1789, the court ordered the court clerk, to forward a copy of the answer of Don Antonio Mendez, to Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased, who acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended same for payment.

The record shows that the court taking in consideration Mendez's answer and the approval given by Louis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased, ordered the testamentary executor to satisfy the plaintiff's claim from the funds of the succession.

File #2515. )  
Dec. 16, 1788. )  
P. 1 to 11. )  
Judge: Don Josef )  
Foucher. )  
CC: Pedesclaux. )  
Spanish. )

---

CASE OF  
MADAM EULALIA ST. AMANT  
VERSUS  
THE SUCCESSION OF DON PHELIPE  
CHESNE.

Antonio Palao, representing Eulalia Amand, widow of Felipe Chesne, instituted this action to recover the dowry of his principal and for this purpose he petitioned the court to have all of the claims of his creditors recorded as also all the assets of the succession in order to settle the creditors claims, and to forward a copy of this petition to all the creditors, and to also order Santiago Leduc, to retain in his possession the sum of 2,100 pesos, value of a certain house that said Leduc purchased from the succession, until the settlement of this case.

On Dec. 16, 1788, the court granted the plaintiff's prayer.

The record further shows that the creditors of the succession of said deceased, admitted the plaintiff's claim. However, the record is incomplete and the outcome of this case is not known.

#23  
#10

File #2615.	)	CASE OF
Dec. 16, 1788.	)	DON JOSEPH VILLARD
P. 1 to 4.	)	VERSUS
Judge: Don Josef Foucher.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF DON PHELIPPE CHES- NE.
CC: P. Pedesclaux.	)	
Spanish.	)	

---

The plaintiff, commissary for his Majesty in this Province, through his attorney brought action against the succession of Don Phelipe Chesne, to recover the past due sum of 93 pesos. The plaintiff, presented as evidence of his claim a promissory note, and begged the court to order the widow of said deceased to acknowledge the signature on said promissory note presented.

On Dec. 16, 1788, the court granted the plaintiff's prayer.

On the same day the court clerk, in compliance with the preceding decree received the declaration of Madam Eulalia Amand, legitimate wife of said deceased, who after having been sworn according to law, admitted the plaintiff's claim.

The record is incomplete and the outcome of this case is unknown.

#23

#10

File #2617.	)	
Dec. 16, 1788.	)	CASE OF
P. 1 to 8.	)	VALENTINO (free negro)
Judge: Don Josef	)	VERSUS
Foucher.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF DON PHEL IPE
CC: P. Pedesclaux.	)	CHESNNE.
Spanish.	)	

---

Don Santiago Felipe Guinault, representing Valentino (free negro), instituted these proceedings against the succession of Don Phelipe Chesnne, to recover the sum of 645 pesos. The plaintiff presented as evidence of his claim two promissory notes, alleged that said debt arose for personal work that said principal rendered to said deceased Chesnne.

The record further shows that on Dec. 16, 1788, the court granted the plaintiff's prayer and that Madam Eulalia St. Amand, widow of said deceased Chesnne, acknowledged the signature of her said deceased husband on the promissory notes presented.

Then the plaintiff further petitioned the court alleging that as evidenced by the declaration given by the widow of said deceased, he has proven the legitimacy of his claim, and therefore begged the court to order the person who is in charge of said succession to satisfy the sum claimed.

The record is incomplete and the outcome of this case is not known.

#23  
#10

File #177.	)	CASE OF
Dec. 17, 1788.	)	DON JUAN BAUTISTA REBOULT
P. 1 to 9.	)	VERSUS
Judge: Don Juan	)	THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO CHAVERT
del Postigo.	)	AND MADAM CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	
Spanish.	)	

---

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought this action against the succession of Don Pedro Chavert, to recover the sum of 14 pesos, alleging that said sum is for the value of certain effects that petitioner sold to Don Pedro Piernas testamentary executor of said succession for the funeral of said deceased Chavert. Wherefore, petitioner begs the Court to order said testamentary executor to satisfy the sum claimed.

On Dec. 17, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk, to forward copies of the plaintiff's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants, and to Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heirs of said deceased. Said parties admitted the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same.

The record further shows that the court in view of the approval of the plaintiff's claim by the interested parties, ordered Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor to satisfy the plaintiff's claim.

#23  
#10

File #2612. ) PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY CATALINA  
Dec. 17, 1788. ) TOUPAR FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPOINT-  
Judge: Estevan ) ING FRANCISCO PREVOST HER SON IN  
Miro. ) LAW, AS TUTOR OF HER MINOR CHILD-  
CC: R. Perdomo. ) REN.  
P. 1 to 29. )  
Spanish and ) Catalina Toupar, widow by first  
French. ) marriage of Pedro Borrel, and  
legitimate wife of Luis Luasel,  
with domicile at the Post of At-  
acapas and presently residing in  
this city, instituted these pro-  
ceedings, to appoint Francisco Prevost, her son-in-  
law, as tutor of her minor children and to settle  
certain affairs with her husband, alleging that her  
said husband has in his possession three negro slaves  
of her ownership named Polon, Antonio, and Luis, that  
her said husband receives every day certain sums of  
money for the hiring of said slaves without giving  
her any part, even though all the money belongs to  
her, that at present she is without any means to  
support herself and daughter and that she is living  
practically on charity; wherefore, she begged the  
Court to order said Luasel to deliver her said slaves  
in order that she may have money for the daily ex-  
penses of her daughter and herself and also to order  
her said husband to deliver her part of the money he  
has received from the Royal Treasury for account of  
her minor children so that she may pay an account  
which she owes to Mr. Pon for supplies to support her  
children, as said Luasel knows that the money belongs  
to her children and not to pay his room and board  
which amounts to fifty pesos a month.

The record shows that the Court  
accepted Francisco Prevost, as tutor of petitioner's  
minor children, and ordered Luasel to deliver to pe-  
(cont'd)

(Doc. #1946)  
cont'd.

petitioner said negro slaves and to render an account of the money he has received from the Royal Treasurer belonging to petitioner's children.

The record further shows that Luis Luasel and the petitioner made an agreement whereby said Luasel binded himself to sell on one year term the properties he has in his possession belonging to petitioner and her children and also compromised to give petitioner an additional sum of ten per cent of the proceeds of the sale of his properties to compensate her for all the trouble he has caused her.

#24

#10

File #151.	)	CASE OF
Dec. 18, 1788.	)	PABLO SEGOND AND SON
Judge: Juan	)	VERSUS
del Postigo.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT AND
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	CARLOTA LA LANDA DAPREMON.
P. 1 to 8.	)	
Spanish and	)	
French.	)	

---

The plaintiff, residents and merchants of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of seventy one pesos, alleging that as evidenced by the account duly presented the defendants are indebted to them in said sum; wherefore they begged the court to order the testamentary executor to pay them the sum claimed from the proceeds of the sale of the properties left by said deceased.

Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased acknowledged the plaintiffs' claim and recommended same for payment. The court taking into consideration this acknowledgement ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiffs' claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to said succession.

#24  
#10

CASE OF  
SANTIAGO NICOLAS  
VERSUS

File #160. )  
Dec. 18, 1788. ) THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT AND  
Judge: Juan ) CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.  
del Postigo. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
P. 1 to 6. )  
Spanish and )  
French. )

---

The plaintiff, a gunsmith of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of twenty pesos and six reales, alleging that as evidenced by the account duly presented the defendants are indebted to petitioner in said sum for work rendered to the deceased Pedro Chavert; wherefore, petitioner begged the court to order the testamentary executor of the Chavert succession to pay petitioner said sum from the proceeds of the sale of the properties left by said deceased.

Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased, acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same. The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgement ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to said succession.

DOCUMENT NO. 1949.

BOX 52.

File #163. )  
Dec. 18, 1788. )  
P. 1 to 8. )  
Judge: Don Juan )  
del Postigo. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
Spanish. )

---

CASE OF  
DON PEDRO CHENOR  
VERSUS  
THE SUCCESSION OF DON PEDRO CHAVERT  
AND MADAM CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a resident and merchant of this city, brought action against the succession of Don Pedro Chavert, to recover the past due sum of nine pesos and 4 reales. The petitioner presented as evidence of his claim a promissory note, and begged the court to order the testamentary executor of said succession to satisfy the sum claimed.

On Dec. 18, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk to forward a copy of the plaintiff's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants, and to Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased. The record shows that Mendez and Dapremon both admitted the plaintiff's claim and recommended same for payment.

On Jan. 26, 1789, the court in view of the approval of the plaintiff's claim by the interested parties ordered Don Pedro Piernas testamentary executor, to satisfy the sum claimed from the funds of said succession.

#23  
#10

File #144.	)	PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY
Dec. 19, 1788.	)	MARIA TERESA, (Free negress)
Judge: Don E. Miro.	)	TO OBTAIN THE FREEDOM OF LUIS
P. 1 to 8.	)	BOASEN, A NEGRO SLAVE OWNED
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	BY THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO
Spanish.	)	CHAVERT.

---

Maria Teresa (free negress), a resident of this city, instituted these proceedings to obtain the freedom of Luis Boasen, a negro slave owned by the succession of Chavert. Teresa, alleged in her petition that in consideration of the love and friendship towards said slave and for favors indebted to him, she desires to pay 600 pesos for his freedom, said sum being the appraised value of said slave. Wherefore, petitioner begged the Court to accept said sum as the value for the freedom of said slave.

On Dec. 9, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk, to forward copies of Maria Teresa's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the succession and to Don Luis Lalanda Dapremón, heir of the deceased Chavert. Said parties consented to the sale of said Boasen, for the sum of 600 pesos.

On Jan. 30, 1789, the court in view of the consent given by the interested parties, ordered the court clerk, to issue a letter of freedom to said Luis Boasen, negro slave of the succession of said Chavert.

#23  
#10

File #2161.	)	CASE OF
Dec. 19, 1788.	)	DON SANTIAGO GUINAULT
Judge: Don	)	VERSUS
Antonio Argote	)	MARCOS RICHARD.
CC: P. Pedesclaux.	)	
Spanish.	)	
P. 1 to 5.	)	

---

Don Santiago Guinault, attorney for the absent heirs of the deceased Don Guillermo Godenar, brought this action against the defendant, to recover the past due sum of 400 pesos, plus interest; the plaintiff, presented as evidence of his claim a promissory note and begged the Court to order the defendant to acknowledge his signature on said promissory note presented and to declare whether he owes the sum claimed.

The record shows that on Dec. 19, 1788, the court granted the plaintiff's prayer, and that the defendant in compliance with the preceding decree appeared before the court clerk, and acknowledged his signature affixed on the promissory note presented and also admitted owing the sum claimed to the plaintiff.

The record further shows that on March 17, 1789 after several litigations, the court ordered the testamentary executor of the succession of said deceased Godemar, to satisfy the sum claimed, plus the costs of these proceedings, which amounted to 22 pesos and 2 reales.

#23

#10

File #166.	)	CASE OF
Dec. 19, 1788.	)	VICENTE FANGUI
Judge: Juan	)	VERSUS
del Postigo.	)	THE SUCCESSIONS OF PEDRO CHAVERT
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	AND CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.
P. 1 to 11.	)	
Spanish and	)	
French.	)	

The plaintiff, attorney for Rosalia Laporte, widow of Beluche, as evidenced by the Power of Attorney duly presented, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of one thousand one hundred thirty-nine pesos, alleging that as evidenced by the promissory notes duly presented the defendants are indebted to him in said sum; wherefore he begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of said succession to pay him said sum from the proceeds of the sale of the properties left by said deceased.

The record shows that Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased, acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same. The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgement ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to said succession.

#24

#10

DOCUMENT NO. 1952.  
BOX 52.

File #157.	)	
Dec. 22, 1788.	)	
Judge: Juan	)	CASE OF
del Postigo.	)	MARIA VILAR
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	VERSUS
P. 1 to 10.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT AND
Spanish and	)	CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.
French.	)	

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of four hundred twenty-two pesos, alleging that as evidenced by the documents duly presented the defendants are indebted to petitioner in said sum for the value of certain merchandise sold to the deceased Pedro Chavert; wherefore petitioner begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of said succession to pay said sum from the proceeds of the sale of the properties left by said deceased.

The record shows that Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased, acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended same for payment. The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgement ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to said succession.

#24  
#10

File #165.	)	CASE OF
Dec. 22, 1788.	)	VICENTE FANGUI
Judge: Juan	)	VERSUS
del Postigo.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT AND
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.
P. 1 to 8.	)	
Spanish.	)	
<hr/>		

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of eighteen pesos and four reales, alleging that as evidenced by the account and promissory note duly presented the defendants are indebted to him in said sum; wherefore, he begged the court to order the testamentary executor of said succession to pay said sum from the proceeds of the properties left by said deceased.

Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased, acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same. The court taking into consideration this acknowledgement ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to said succession.

File #2589. ) PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY DON  
Dec. 22, 1788. ) ALEXO REAUD FOR THE PURPOSE OF  
P. 1 to 5. ) PROVING THE OWNERSHIP OF FOUR  
Judge: Don Antonio ) NEGRO SLAVES.  
Argote. )  
CC: P. Pedesclaux. )  
Spanish. )

---

Don Alexo Reaud, a resident of this city, instituted these proceedings for the purpose of proving that he is the owner of four negro slaves that he brought from the French Cape to this city, in the brigantine of his ownership named "Thetis". The petitioner not having titles to prove his claim and wishing to sell said slaves he begged the court to admit the information of the witnesses that he will present to prove that he is the owner of said slaves.

On Dec. 22, 1788, the court granted the petitioner's prayer.

On the same day Don Alexo Reaud, in compliance with the preceding decree presented his witnesses before the Court Clerk, and after they were sworn according to law they stated; that the four negro slaves belong to said Alexo Reaud, and that they have no objection in testifying so.

On Dec. 24, 1788, the court approved the information submitted by the witnesses presented, and granted Don Alexo Reaud the permit requested to sell said negro slaves of his ownership.

#24

#10

File #139. )  
Dec. 24, 1788. )  
Judge: Juan )  
del Postigo. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
P. 1 to 15. )  
Spanish and )  
French. )

---

CASE OF  
PEDRO BONNE  
VERSUS  
THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT  
AND CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of one thousand three hundred thirty pesos and six reales, alleging that as evidenced by the statement and three letters duly presented the defendants are indebted to petitioner in said sum for a certain quantity of lumber, boards and indigo, and two sheep, sold to the deceased Pedro Chavert, and that said deceased during his lifetime refused to make payment on demand; wherefore he begged the court to order Guido Dufosat, former agent for said deceased to acknowledge his signature affixed on one of the letters presented and to declare under oath whether the contents of said letter is true, and to order the testamentary executor, to pay said sum from the proceeds of the sale of the properties left by said deceased.

The record shows that Guido Dufosat acknowledged his signature affixed on one of the letters presented by the plaintiff and declared that the contents of said letter is true, and that Luis Lalanda Dapremon one of the heirs of said deceased acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same.

The record further shows that the court taking into consideration the acknowledgement made by Luis Lalanda Dapremon, ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to the succession of Chavert.

File #145.  
Dec. 24, 1788.  
Judge: Juan del  
Postigo.  
CC: R. Perdomo.  
P. 1 to 14.  
Spanish and  
French.

---

) CASE OF  
) NICOLAS LAUVE  
) VERSUS  
) THE SUCCESSIONS OF PEDRO CHAVERT  
) AND CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of sixty pesos and four reales, alleging that as evidenced by the seven promissory notes duly presented the defendants are indebted to him in said sum for shoes sold to the deceased Pedro Chavert, and that said deceased during his life time refused to make payment on demand; wherefore petitioner begged the court to order the court clerk to compare the signatures affixed on said promissory notes presented with those he has on file of said deceased Chavert and to certify as to their authenticity.

The record shows that Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same. The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgement ordered the testamentary executor of said succession to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to said succession.

#24  
#10

File #153. )  
Dec. 24, 1788. )  
P. 1 to 11. )  
Judge: Don Juan )  
del Postigo. )  
CC: R. Perdomo. )  
Spanish )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

CASE OF  
DON ANDRES FERNANDEZ  
VERSUS  
THE SUCCESSIONS OF DON PEDRO CHAVERT  
AND MADAM CARLOTA LALANDA.

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought this action against the succession of Don Pedro Chavert, to recover the past due sum of 6 pesos and 6 reales. The petitioner presented as evidence of his claim an account of same, and begged the court to order the testamentary executor of the succession of said Chavert, to satisfy his claim.

On Dec. 24, 1788, the court ordered the court clerk, to forward copies of the plaintiff's petition to Don Antonio Mendez, attorney for the defendants, and to Don Luis Lalanda Dapremon, heir of said deceased Chavert, who approved the plaintiff's claim, and recommended same for payment.

On Jan. 19, 1788, the court in view of the approval of the plaintiff's claim by the interested parties, ordered Don Pedro Piernas, testamentary executor, to satisfy the sum claimed from the funds in his position belonging to the succession of Chavert.

File #173.	)	
Dec. 24, 1788.	)	CASE OF
Judge: Juan del	)	LUIS MACARTY
Postigo.	)	VERSUS
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT
P. 1 to 9.	)	AND CARLOTA LALANDA DAHREMOM.
Spanish.	)	

---

The plaintiff, an inhabitant of the Province, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of eighty pesos, alleging that Fernando Rodriguez owed petitioner said sum and that the deceased Pedro Chavert purchased on credit four cows from said Rodriguez with the condition that when he sold said cows he would pay petitioner Rodriguez's debt, and that as said Chavert died before fulfilling this agreement; wherefore petitioner begged the Court to order Rodriguez to declare under oath whether the above allegation is true, then to order the testamentary executor of the Chavert succession to pay said claim from the proceeds of the sale of the properties left by said deceased.

The record shows that the testimony rendered by Rodriguez substantiated the plaintiff's allegations.

The record further shows that Antonio Mendez, attorney for the absent heir, and Luis Lalanda Dahremom, one of the heirs, acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same. The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgement ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to said succession.

#24

#10

File #180.	)	CASE OF
Dec. 24, 1788.	)	JOSEPH MONTEGUT
Judge: Juan	)	VERSUS
del Postigo.	)	THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	AND CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.
P. 1 to 8.	)	
Spanish and	)	
French.	)	

---

The plaintiff, a surgeon of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of one thousand pesos, alleging that as evidenced by the account duly presented, written in French, the defendants are indebted to petitioner in said sum for professional services rendered to the deceased Pedro Chavert, his family and servants from the year 1774 up to the present date; wherefore petitioner begged the Court to order the testamentary executor of said succession to pay said claim from the proceeds of the sale of the properties left by said deceased.

Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of said deceased acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same. The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgement ordered the testamentary executor to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds he has in his possession belonging to said succession.

#24  
#10

File #181. )  
Dec. 24, 1788. )  
Judge: Juan )  
del Postigo. )  
CC: R. Perdomo.)  
P. 1 to 8. )  
Spanish and )  
French. )

---

CASE OF  
JUAN BAUTISTA MERCIE  
VERSUS  
THE SUCCESSION OF PEDRO CHAVERT  
AND CARLOTA LALANDA DAPREMON.

The plaintiff, a resident of this city, brought this action against the defendants to recover the sum of ninety pesos, alleging that as evidenced by the documents duly presented the defendants are indebted to petitioner in said sum; wherefore petitioner begged the court to order the testamentary executor of said succession to pay said claim from the funds of the succession.

Luis Lalanda Dapremon, one of the heirs of the deceased Pedro Chavert and Carlota Lalanda Dapremon acknowledged the plaintiff's claim and recommended the payment of same. The Court taking into consideration this acknowledgment ordered the testamentary executor of said succession to pay the plaintiff his claim from the funds he had in his possession belonging to the succession.

#24  
#10

File #124.	)	PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED BY MADAM
Dec. 30, 1788.	)	GREGUEMBERT, THROUGH HER ATTORNEY
P. 1 to 6.	)	DON CAVELIER, FOR THE PURPOSE OF
Judge: Don Juan	)	OBTAINING A CERTIFIED COPY OF HER
del Postigo.	)	APPOINTMENT, AS TUTORESS OF HER
CC: R. Perdomo.	)	DAUGHTER MADAM MARIA CAVELIER, DUE
Spanish.	)	TO THE DEATH OF HER HUSBAND DON JUAN
	)	BTA CAVELIER.

Don Antonio Cavelier, a resident of this city, instituted these proceedings for the purpose of proving that he has been appointed attorney of Madam Ana Maria Greguembert, as evidenced by the power-of-attorney presented, and that at present his principal is absent in the kingdom of France and without any title to justify that she is the legal tutoress of her daughter Madam Maria Cavelier. Wherefore, petitioner begs the Court to order the Court Clerk, to issue a certified copy of the testament of said principal's deceased husband, wherein said principal was appointed tutoress of her daughter.

On Dec. 30, 1788, the court granted Don Antonio Cavelier's prayer.

The record further shows that the Court Clerk in compliance with the preceding decree issued the certified copy to Don Antonio Cavelier, and that the Court after having examined the records, appointed and authorized Madam Ana Maria Greguembert to be the legal tutoress of her daughter Madam Maria Cavelier.

#23

#10